

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/526,606	09/526,606 03/16/2000		Robert S. Mancini	3499-59	1911		
27383	7590	11/03/2004		EXAMINER			
CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP 31 WEST 52ND STREET				BASHORE, ALAIN L			
NEW YORK				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		•	3624			
				DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		-	٠.
	1	,	7
ı	٠	,	

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
·	09/526,606	MANCINI ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Alain L. Bashore	3624						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ju	<u>ıne 2004</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar								
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 40-55 is/are pending in the application	١.	•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-47 and 50</u> is/are allowed.	_ '							
6) Claim(s) 40, 48-49, 51-55 is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>								
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Applicati	on No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	*	ed in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.						
Attachment(s)	_							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	5) D Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:							

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 40 and 48-49, 51-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boesch et al (433) in view of Potter et al.

Boesch et al discloses a computer-implemented method, system, a computer executable code residing on a computer-readable medium, and a method of generating a computer data signal, all for providing risk management for online transactions on a computerized communications network (col 2, lines 62-67; col 3, lines 1-41). Executable software is stored on a server via the network. Digital data identifying a seller and descriptive of currency exchange price that relates to base currency is also disclosed. The transaction may additionally comprise aggregating transaction amounts where the size of the aggregate amount may be limited (col 8, lines 49-53).

Boesch et al does not inherently disclose:

a predetermined period of time during which currency exchange price will be adhered to for amounts exchanged as a result of one or more transactions; and,

digital data that includes date of transaction execution.

Potter et al discloses predetermined period of time during which currency exchange price will be adhered to (see abstract) and digital data including date of transaction execution (figs 15-23).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify Boesch et al to include digital data that includes date of transaction execution because Potter teaches imputs required for transaction to commence (col 3, lines 21-25).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include a predetermined period of time during which currency exchange price will be adhered to for amounts exchanged as a result of one or more transactions because of what is taught by both Boesch et al and Potter et al. Boesch et at teaches a maximum predetermined period of time for the transaction (col 5, lines 10-15). And Potter et al teaches liability of state rates (see abstract).

Regarding the reference to Potter, since Potter teaches that an offer must be recalculated after a certain time period because of market fluctuations, it would have

Application/Control Number: 09/526,606

Art Unit: 3624

been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art would utilize considerations to all aspects of an offer, including the sale of goods and services at a price desired.

# Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 41-47, 50 are allowed. Allowable subject matter requires a second level review for applications in class 705 before a notice of allowance is mailed to applicant.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 6-01-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Since Boesch et al teaches a maximum transactional level, there is present multiple transactions (col 5, lines 5-10). Since Boesch et al discloses individual sellers, there is present differentiation.

Since Potter teaches that money rate offers are time sensitive there is taught time period effectiveness and determinations.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/526,606 Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 703-308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (Monday thru Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bàshore Primary Examiner Art Unit 3624